

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Remimeo
Ethics Hats
Tech Hats
Qual Hats

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 1 JULY 1965
ISSUE II

HCO Division
Tech Div
Qual Div

COMM CYCLE ADDITIVES

There are **no** additives permitted on the Auditing Comm Cycle.

Example: Getting the pc to state the problem after the pc has said what the problem is.

Example: Asking a pc if that is the answer.

Example: Telling pc "it didn't react" on the meter.

Example: Querying the answer.

This is the **WORST** kind of auditing.

Processes run best **MUZZLED**. By muzzled is meant using **ONLY** TR 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 by the text.

A pc's results will go to **HELL** on an additive comm cycle.

There are a hundred thousand tricks that could be added to the Auditing Comm Cycle. **EVERY ONE** of them is a **GOOF**.

The **ONLY** time you ever ask for a repeat is when you couldn't hear it.

Since 1950, I've known that all auditors talk too much in a session. The maximum talk is the standard model session and the TR 0 to 4 Auditing Comm Cycle **ONLY**.

It is a serious matter to get a pc to "clarify his answer". It is in fact an Ethics matter and if done habitually is a **Suppressive Act**, for it will wipe out all gains.

There are mannerism additives also.

Example: Waiting for the pc to look at you before you give the next command. (Pcs who won't look at you are **ARC Broken**. You don't then twist this to mean the pc has to look at you before you give the next command.)

Example: A lifted eyebrow at an answer.

Example: A questioning sort of ack.

The Whole Message is

GOOD AUDITING OCCURS WHEN THE COMM CYCLE ALONE IS USED AND IS MUZZLED.

Additives on the Auditing Comm cycle are **ANY ACTION, STATEMENT, QUESTION OR EXPRESSION GIVEN IN ADDITION TO TRs 0-4.**

They are **Gross Auditing Errors**.

And should be regarded as such.

Auditors who add to the Auditing Comm Cycle never make Releases.

So, that's **Suppressive**.

Don't do it!